

amendments that have been filed, and I guess I'm just asking straightforward, is LB 769, do you believe, important enough to do whatever is necessary to keep it from coming to a vote?

SENATOR LANDIS: I intend to continue speaking when given an opportunity. I put in three amendments and I intend to see those through. If you ask me, can I conceive of nothing, the answer is no. It's not that I can't conceive of anything that will keep me from that.

SENATOR LINDSAY: I think that's close enough. Senator Bernard-Stevens, are you willing to put in whatever amendments or motions or whatever else to keep what is basically going to be LB 769 from coming to a vote?

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: If, Senator Lindsay, you and Senator Labeledz and others continue to say that we're having, we don't care about amendments, we don't care about having any debate or any serious negotiation on amendments, and you have motions such as LB 854 straight across the board, I would have problems, yes, sir.

SENATOR LINDSAY: I think that's about as direct of an answer as I could expect, probably about as short, too. But, Senator Nelson, same question.

SENATOR HEFNER: Senator Nelson.

SENATOR NELSON: I believe, Senator Lindsay, when I asked the question of you in Judiciary in regards to this bill and particularly LB 854, when obviously, you're smarter than I am when it comes to a point of law, knew that we were being asked to advance a bill that is certainly constitutional suspect or has been proven unconstitutional in other states and cases, your answer was very sharp to me. I don't care how long we debate this bill, two hours, two weeks, I think I asked you, two hours, two days, two weeks, doesn't make any difference to me. You also are familiar that...

SENATOR LINDSAY: I'm sorry to interrupt, Senator Nelson...

SENATOR NELSON: Wait a minute, wait a minute...

SENATOR LINDSAY: Is it a yes...